Casese0806407646451-SI Diooument819 Fffeed001/02/02007 Palgagleof of 4

1 2 3 4 5	JEROME C. ROTH (SBN 159483) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 jerome.roth@mto.com	
6 7 8 9	Attorneys for Defendant LG PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
1 1	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12 13 14	Stephanie Truong, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated, Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C 06 7640 (SBA) STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
15	VS.	Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	LG-Philips LCD Co. Ltd., LG Philips LCD America, Inc.; Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.; Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba Matsushita Display Technology Co., Ltd.; Hitachi Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd.; Hitachi America Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.; Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices Corporation; NEC Corporation; NEC LCD Technologies, Ltd.; NEC Electronics America, Inc.; IDT International Ltd.; AU Optronics; International Display Technology Co., Ltd.; International Display Technology USA Inc.; AU Optronics Corporation America; Chi Mei Optoelectronics; Chi Mei Optoelectronics; Chi Mei Optoelectronics; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; Hannstar Display Corporation; Defendants.	
28		STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION

OF TIME CASE NO. C 06-7640 (SBA)

1234449.1

CaSe.Se0806/40/7646450I-SI DiDocument619 Fffeedc001/02/02/007 Palgedec2 of 4

1	WHEREAS plaintiff filed a complaint in the above-captioned case on or about	
2	December 13, 2006;	
3	WHEREAS plaintiff alleges antitrust violations by manufacturers of Liquid	
4	Crystal Display ("LCD") products;	
5	WHEREAS more than fifteen complaints have been filed to date in federal district	
6	courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bringing class actions on behalf or	
7	indirect purchasers alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers of LCD products (collectively,	
8	"the LCD Cases");	
9	WHEREAS there is a motion pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict	
10	Litigation to transfer the LCD Cases to the Northern District of California for coordinated or	
11	consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;	
12	WHEREAS plaintiff anticipates the possibility of Consolidated Amended	
13	Complaints in the LCD Cases;	
14	WHEREAS plaintiff and LG Philips LCD America, Inc. ("LPL America") have	
15	agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the LCD Cases would be	
16	more efficient for the parties and for the Court;	
17	WHEREAS plaintiff agrees that the deadline for LPL America to respond to the	
18	Complaint shall be extended until the earlier of the following two dates: (1) forty-five days after	
19	the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after	
20	plaintiff provides written notice to LPL America that he does not intend to file a Consolidated	
21	Amended Complaint, provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case	
22	management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case;	
23	WHEREAS plaintiff further agrees that this extension is available, without further	
24	stipulation with counsel for plaintiff, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of	
25	their intention to join this extension;	
26	WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by LPL America or any	
27	defendant of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal or subject	
28		

Case.se060640764645HSI Diocument819 Fifeed00002020007 Palgageof of 4 matter jurisdiction, insufficiency of process, insufficiency of service of process, or improper 1 2 venue. PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT LPL AMERICA, BY AND THROUGH THEIR 3 RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS: 4 The deadline for LPL America to respond to the Complaint shall be 5 6 extended until the earlier of the following two dates (1) forty-five days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after the plaintiff 7 8 provides written notice that he does not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint, 9 provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case. 10 11 2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiff or further order of the Court, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of 12 13 their intention to join this extension. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 14 15 DATED: January $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2007}}$ Respectfully submitted, 16 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 17 18 By: 19 JEROME C. ROTH ttorneys for Defendant 20 PHILLIPS LCD AMERICA, INC 21 Of Counsel: 22 Michael R. Lazerwitz Jeremy J. Calsyn 23 Lee F. Berger CLEARLY GOTTLIEB STEEN & 24

- 2 -

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME CASE NO. C 06-7640 (SBA)

25

26

27

Telephone:

Facsimile:

HAMILTON LLP

Washington, DC 20006

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

(202) 974-1500

(202) 974-1999

DATED: January <u>2</u>, 2007 FURTH LEHMANN & GRANT LLP 1 2 By: 3 MICHAEL P. LEHMANN THOMAS P. DOVE 4 CHRISTOPHER L. LEBSOCK JON T. KING 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff STEPHANIE TRUONG 6 7 8 9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO 10 ORDERED: 11 Dated: 12 Judge of the United States District Court 13 **CERTIFICATION** 14 I, Jerome C. Roth, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to filed 15 this Stipulation For Extension Of Time. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby 16 17 attest that Jon T. King has concurred in this filing. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Casese0806407646451-SI DiDocument819 Fffeed0010202007 Palgageof of 4